

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1608 Name Bagshaw Neal

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

Pleased with Buckhurst Hill Powell road site area but hope it's Homes for young people too not just old.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Strongly agree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1608 Name Bagshaw Neal

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

Yes

Buckhurst Hill?

No

Loughton Broadway?

Yes

Chipping Ongar?

Yes

Loughton High Road?

Yes

Waltham Abbey?

Yes

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

Theydon Bois have hardly any development .. Why is this?

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Strongly agree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

Yes

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

Yes

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

It's a real shame Buckhurst Hill did not have a manned event and we were lumped with Loughton and static consultation stand in a library which is tricky to get too for the elderly. A real failure of EFDC. However I agree with all proposed sites in Buckhurst Hill local plan except the lower queens road car park which will cause traffic and take business away from Queens road. Other sites such as the library and the Wollard would be an alter siting for this site .

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

Yes

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

Yes

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Should have been more here! Why not ?

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

Yes

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Should have bene more here too. Why not?

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
-

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

We need to make sure we stop Basement developments in the district ASAP .
