

## Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	4784	Name	S N	Wilkinson	Roydon Society
Method	Survey				
Date					

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: [ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk](mailto:ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk)

### Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

**Strongly disagree**

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

Lack of infrastructure given in the draft local plan. Top priority on schools, medical facilities, water, sewage and more importantly, roads. This applies to current community as wells as proposed new build areas. Now buildings should not be in close proximity to established communities. Buffer areas to be created of substantial size.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

**Strongly disagree**

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

Areas of the district currently fully developed will become overdeveloped and undesirable. It is *\*illegible\** that areas of the district will not accept item allocation and therefore *\*illegible\** will exceed the current numbers allocated for that area. It is also *\*illegible\** that areas not allocated in the draft LP will be approved by planning the increasing the number of development/properties.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

**Strongly disagree**

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Serious concerns regarding *\*Illegible\** The B181 cannot cope with an increase of traffic from these areas - so if approved all roads on the new development must lead out to Harlow town. The proposed *\*illegible\** plan

### Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	4784	Name	S N	Wilkinson
----------------	------	------	-----	-----------

suggest that Harlow Town \*illegible\* be used for \*illegible\* seeking employment in London etc. This station is already oversubscribed. \*illegible\* would adversely affect those currently living in Old House Lane and surround \*illegible\*

---

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

Buckhurst Hill?

Loughton Broadway?

Chipping Ongar?

No

Loughton High Road?

Waltham Abbey?

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

---

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

How are the roads going to cope with the additional traffic - employees as well as industrial?

---

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

The old \*illegible\* has, for a number of years 1980's- onwards - been refused permission by EFDC so why should this one be in a conservative area be selected? Roydon has accepted as a natural process of increasing village numbers, gradual development. The site in Epping road is acceptable as 'in filling' but residents have \*illegible\* strongly disagree with the proposals for \*illegible\* and \*illegible\* It is seen as destroying the landscape that we have \*illegible\* this area of the village. It is felt that \*illegible\* destroy the village atmosphere and cause concerns for those currently living in Halls Green. \*illegible\* It would also narrow the green area between Harlow and Roydon Parish. There suggested areas for development would have concerns on our \*illegible\* B181 already overstretched. Roydon is used as a 'rat run' between \*illegible\* off the M25 at Waltham Abbey and the A414 \*illegible\*

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

**Strongly disagree**

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

**Current infrastructure is already 'growing so serious increase in road, medical, academic must be considered and planned before any development is approved.**

---

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

**Serious reconsideration on many issues should be undertaken.**

1  
1  
1  
1  
1  
1  
1  
1  
1  
1  
1  
1  
1  
1  
1  
1  
1  
1  
1  
1  
1

---