

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4742 Name A D and B A Peaty & Richardson

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

This is a contradiction as this proposed plan will decimate the Green Belt around Epping Town and ruin it for all the people who have made Epping their home as a pleasant, peaceful semi-rural place to live.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

We strongly disagree with any relevance of Green Belt land within a 2 mile radius of Epping High Street and Station. Beautiful, peaceful landscapes will be reduced to modern Housing Estate eyesores totally incongruous to the rural setting.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Harlow is a relatively new town and lends itself to further development of housing. The character of Harlow would not dramatically alter. It has a large shopping area and adequate leisure facilities to accommodate further housing development, and good transport links.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4742

Name A D and B A

Peaty & Richardson

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

Yes

Buckhurst Hill?

Loughton Broadway?

Chipping Ongar?

Yes

Loughton High Road?

Waltham Abbey?

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

The proposed plan will only benefit property developers. Builders and Estate Agents and not the existing residents of Epping Town. The traffic situation in the High street, station Road, Bower Hill is already at Saturation Point and at times grid lock occurs at rush hour. Adding more people wanting and needing to use Epping Station will exacerbate the existing chaos. The roads are too narrow and traffic will only increase. Local schools, Doctor's surgeries and hospitals are already at saturation point (3 week wait to get a Doctor's appointment at the Limes Medical Centre!) How are you going to cater for the additional population given the financial constraints Central Government is imposing on local authorities?

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

Yes

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

Yes

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

After lengthy perusal of the Draft Local Plan we have come to the conclusion and are of the opinion that North Weald airfield and the surrounding area would be the place to build a new town to encompass housing and requisite facilities. This would not impinge too much on the residents of Epping Town, Theydon Bois, Ongar, Chigwell, Buckhurst Hill etc and life as they know it. We are sure that most residents would oppose the contents of the Draft Local Plan as that would feel this proposed development would spoil Epping Forest District and erode the semi-rural location, which is why like us they chose to live there in the first place.

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

Given the very slow improvement and provision of necessary infrastructure over the past 50 years, we strongly doubt that you will be able to deliver the proposed promised outlined in your plans to support future growth.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

Why do you need an Interim Sustainability Plan? Surely any sustainability should have been ascertained at the outset of the drawing up of the plan.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

The building of homes on Playing fields, Car Parks, sports Facilities is plan stupidity. Replacing said facilities would incur astronomic costs.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)