

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	40	Name	Jake	Spurdle
Method	Survey			
Date				

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: <a href="https://docs.org/licenses/lice

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

The Green belt needs protecting, not building on. The local Roads are already in a poor state, yet the budget is being cut in half, yet these plans will generate even more traffic. Trying to drive through the High Rd is already quite a task. Parking is another issue - The Spotted dog pub was knocked down and 5-6 houses were built (With Parking I believe) This hasn't stopped cars parking on Ivy Chimneys Road (Causing a narrow road to now be one lane) the cars also park on the pavement, taking it up completely.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

These areas are already over stretched

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Spurdle

1





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in
	Epping?
	No
	Buckhurst Hill?
	No
	Loughton Broadway?
	No
	Chipping Ongar?
	No
	Loughton High Road?
	No
	Waltham Abbey?
	No
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Spurdle





Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area? 6. Epping (Draft Policy P 1): No Please provide reasons for your view on Epping: Loughton (Draft Policy P 2) No Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton: Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3) No Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey: Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4) No Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar: Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5) No Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill: North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6) No Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett: Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7) No Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett: Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8) No Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois: Roydon (Draft Policy P 9) No Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon: Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10) No Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing: Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11) No Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood: Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12) No

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 40

Name Jake Spurdle





Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

Trying to get a Doctors appointment is already beyond a joke, please don't pretend you're going to improve this as it's already terrible.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

No - Don't start it

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Spurdle