

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	2494	Name	Luke	Cuthbert	United Karate association
----------------	------	------	------	----------	------------------------------

Method	Survey
--------	--------

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

I agree as developing the area will be great for the people and lead to more opportunities in a variety of sectors. This would include the north Weald park area development plan proposed by Quinn estates.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

I agree with this approach and believe it will be great for the area providing it is done in the correct way. Including provisions for sports opportunities, education and healthcare.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

I believe Harlow is in need of further development as it is only going to get busier.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	2494	Name	Luke	Cuthbert
----------------	------	------	------	----------

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

Yes

Buckhurst Hill?

Yes

Loughton Broadway?

Yes

Chipping Ongar?

Yes

Loughton High Road?

Yes

Waltham Abbey?

Yes

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

More shopping areas means more opportunities for people to work and for the economy to grow. However, adequate ergonomic planning needs to be in place to deal with heavy traffic and foot flow to ensure people move around smoothly and safely. I would look for Dutch or German cities for inspiration. Their architecture and planning is very well thought out and progressive.

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Once I agree with this as long as the business opportunities are well thought out and not just random. The areas needs and interests need to be considered and young people should be catered for and consulted as they are the future and business keeps evolving so it must be with the times.

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

Yes

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

I believe the north Weald area should be open for development. I'm not completely sure how to interpret the plans that the council has online. However I do agree with develops such as the north weald park proposal by Quinn estates which will actually benefit the growing Community and provide it with many things it is in need

of. I hope the council approves their plans and others like them that will be good for everyone especially children and families in the community.

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

-
7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

-
8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

-
9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)