



Representation form for Submission Version of the Epping Forest District Local Plan 2011-2033 (Regulation 19 publication)

This form should be used to make representations on the Submission Version of the Epping Forest District Local Plan which has been published. Please complete and return by 29 January 2018 at 5pm. An electronic version of the form is available at http://www.efdclocalplan.org/

Please refer to the guidance notes available before completing this form.			
Or email them to: LDFconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk			
BY 5pm on 29 January 2018			
This form has two parts – Part A – Personal Details Part B – Your representation(s). Please fill in a separate sheet for each representation you wish to make.			
Please attach any documents you wish to submit with your representation			
Part A 1. Are you making this representation as? (Please tick as appropriate)			
a) Resident or Member of the General Public x or			
b) Statutory Consultee, Local Authority or Town and Parish Council or			
c) Landowner or			
d) Agent			
Other organisation (please specify)			

December 2017

2. Personal Details		3. Agent's Details (if applicable)
Title	Mr	
First Name	Andre	
Last Name	Strampe	
Job Title (where relevant)		
Organisation (where relevant)		
Address Line 1		
Line 2		
Line 3		
Line 4		
Post Code		
Telephone Number		
E-mail Address		

(Please specify where appropriate)

4. To which part of the Submission Version of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph Policy P1 Policies Map 5.1
Site Reference EPP.R1, EPP.R2 Settlement
5. Do you consider this part of the Submission Version of the Local Plan: *Please refer to the Guidance notes for an explanation of terms
a) Is Legally compliant Yes No
b) Sound Yes No X
If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail*
Positively prepared X Effective X
Justified X Consistent with national policy X
c) Complies with the Yes No United to co-operate
6. Please give details of why you consider the Submission Version of the Local Plan is not legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Local Plan or compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments
Noise and air pollution would need to be mitigated as the proposed site is next to the busiest road in the country. To mitigate pollution, huge barriers would need to be built next to the raised section of a motorway to protect future residents. The site contains high voltage cables/pylons. The site contains oil pipelines. The site has Tree Preservation Orders (TPOs). The area has ancient woodland. The site contains BAP habitat (defined as "an area under threat requiring conservation action"). The proposed development would be far from the tube station, Epping shops and St Johns Senior School.
The result will be a huge increase in local traffic as it would be impossibility to walk or cycle uphill to Epping from this distant location.
Requires a relief road over/under the Central Line at a cost estimated between £8mil-£10mil. Obviously this money should be saved and spent on essential infrastructure. Working with TFL would be an extreme challenge while this big civil engineering project is being undertaken.
There are six separate landowners of land in south Epping which means that the land has not been promoted as a single cohesive development
Brook Road/Ivy Chimneys Road are single track in places and cannot take any increase in traffic. It would be impossible for construction traffic to use them. There is no obvious access to the western parcel. Very restricted access to the eastern parcel via Flux's Lane. (Continue on a separate sheet if necessary) Iternative sites already include key infrastructure in their proposals
(Primary School, GP Surgery, Leisure facilities etc). There is nothing guaranteed for south Epping in the Local Plan

Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/Effective/Consistent with National Policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible. There are two very obvious, large sites that exist and are available. They are more appropriate, sustainable, and economically viable, but currently not in the Local Plan. These are namely land East of the Orchards and North Weald Golf Course - sites that currently have land owners/developers interested and keen to build. Also Theydon Bois has been allocated just 58 houses in the Local Plan and could easily take a 500-1000 houses to the east. All within walking distance of the tube station. The south Epping masterplan does not meet the test of the plan as being justified, and is therefore unsound. EFDC should be following an evidence-based approach and they have utterly failed to demonstrate this. Therefore the Local Plan should be rejected, or made subject to a major amendments. Development should be removed entirely from south Epping and re-allocated to available, logical, economically viable sites - which do exist in the district. (Continue on a separate sheet if necessary) 8. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

Yes, I wish to participate

at the hearings

7. Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Submission Version of the Local

Х

No, I do not wish to participate

at the hearings

9. If you wish to	participate at the hearings, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:
Please note the Institute indicated that they	spector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.
10. Please let us for independent	know if you wish to be notified when the Epping Forest District Local Plan is submitted texamination (Please tick)
X Yes	No
11. Have you at	tached any documents with this representation?
Yes	X No
Signature:	Date: 19/01/18
	en engles to the second of