



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	2697	Name	bernard	conroy	
Met	hod	Survey				
Dat	е					
		elements of the ful	I response suc	ch as formatting and	images may not app	ponses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation ear accurately. Should you wish to review sult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk
Sur	vey Respor	nse:				
1.			I vision that	the Draft Plan sets	out for Epping Fo	rest District?
	Strongly dis	•				
	Please expla	in your choice in	Question 1:			
	The vision of never be re		the green b	elt. This will resul	t in the loss of m	uch of the green belt which can
2.	Do you agree Strongly dis		l vision that	the Draft Plan sets	out for Epping Fo	rest District?
	0.5	in your choice in	Ouestion 2:			
	•	•			to the future of	this unique village.
3.	Do you agree	e with the propos	sals for deve	lopment around Ha	rlow?	
	Agree					
	Please expla	in your choice in	Question 3:			
				infrastructure to	suit the requirem	ents of the plan.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

Yes

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

Only development that would assist the immediate area residence to shop locally.

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Plans for development on green belt are not sustainable and would mean much larger road infrastructure. these sort of developments should be directed at larger towns where employment is necessary.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

360 Houses will destroy forever this unique village, its culture and heritage forever.

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

- 7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?
 - Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

There is already no suitable infrastructure and cannot see where this could be implemented .

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
 - In Theydon Bois the transport links are already at full capacity with the main road through the village which cannot be widened. Therefore I cannot see how it can be considered suitable for any large development.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Although we know there is much requirement nationally for housing it seems to me that the loss of one of the very few villages within the M25 boundary would be detrimental to the future generations quality of life and history.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)