

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	2577	Name	Karen	Legge
Method	Survey			
Date		-		

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: <a href="https://docs.org/licenses/lice

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

I see no attempt to protect the green belt in this plan. I do not feel that homes will be for EF residents.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

Limited release of green belt should not be necessary. Limited release of green belt with respect to Theydon Bois will have a resounding impact on the community.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





- Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in... 4. Epping? No **Buckhurst Hill?** No Loughton Broadway? No Chipping Ongar? No Loughton High Road? No Waltham Abbey? No Please explain your choice in Question 4: There is provision enough.
- 5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

I cannot say how this will impact on the employment opportunities for local people.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Legge



6.



Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area? Epping (Draft Policy P 1): No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Epping: Loughton (Draft Policy P 2) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton: Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey: Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar: Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill: North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett: Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett: Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8) No Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

We moved to the village for that very reason: it was a village in which we could bring up children. The village is currently under-resourced for police services and there is already what appears to be an influx in crime. Traffic and parking for the station are a major problem and safety concern. There is not the capacity or infrastructure to grow the village further. I used to commute to London. By 6:30am I was lucky to get a seat.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2577 Name Karen Legge





Green belt should be sacred. Continually growing villages in to towns will remove the very essence of what it is to live in Epping Forest.

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

And use more green belt land to ensure provision? I think not.

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2577 Name Karen Legge