

## Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	2807	Name	Jean Rotheray
----------------	------	------	---------------

Method	Survey
--------	--------

Date	
------	--

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: [ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk](mailto:ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk)

### Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

Building the number of houses would strain the community and pressurise the facility's already under strain I have lived in the here for over fifty Years and already the village the village has grown to twice the size it was in 1960 vicarage lane itself was under threat as an entrance to access the fields behind Blumans estate which would have given access to Magellan Laver this was turned down when a public enquiry was held and the green belt was upheld I am further concerned for plan for a travellers site to be situated practically opposite my residence this lane used to be the 414 and frequently overhead cables were torn by the mount of heavy traffic using it when the Dartford tunnel was opened until the road was diverted using green belt land the traffic has increased dramatically and any houses built in the fields opposite would have difficulty as the roundabout t Tyler's green is already hazedous due to the speeds of the traffic using the 414 also when th m11 is closed queuing traffic blocks entrance out regarding the siting of travellers site distasteful in the least we are still reeling from the invasion which happened years ago and the damage that was inflicted then it took almost 5 years for the fields to recover and the damage done to the school swimming pool had to be seen to be believed I have been a caravaner and know th difficulties but these people are travellers so why do they need permanence places and as most people living in the lane are elderly including myself it is not a pleasant thought to have to live with the sewage situation water electricity is already under strain the roads already overloaded life will be intolerable to all and totally ..stupid to permit the number of houses envisaged .j

### Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	2807	Name	Jean Rotheray
----------------	------	------	---------------

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

**Strongly disagree**

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

**Already set out earlier .**

---

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

**No opinion**

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

---

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

**No opinion**

Buckhurst Hill?

**No opinion**

Loughton Broadway?

**No opinion**

Chipping Ongar?

**No opinion**

Loughton High Road?

**No opinion**

Waltham Abbey?

**No opinion**

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

---

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

---

## Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

**No**

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

**Have already stated previously village could not possibly support this number infrastructure sewerage roads schooling medical service**

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

---

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

---

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?