

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4746 Name Name not given Reynolds

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

for residents of Ongar, the quality of live will decline. Yes new homes are needed but not in the numbers suggested for Ongar. There are very few local jobs and the required infrastructure on the evidence provided with the impossible to provide at the required time or in the proportion needed. The high street economy will not be entirely supported by an increased number of residents, only if parking areas are increased, which are provided with free time zones and the high street road pavements and street furniture improved with shops that attract customers.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

Development of Ongar must not include green belt land which is not farmland. The proposal includes Bows Field which is green belt land, it would appear that it has already been considered for release

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

What is the definition of 'around Harlow' if that does not include villages and towns situated a reasonable distance from Harlow would agree.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4746

Name Name not given Reynolds

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

Buckhurst Hill?

Loughton Broadway?

Chipping Ongar?

No

Loughton High Road?

Waltham Abbey?

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

Ongar High Street at times cannot cope with traffic flow. One delivery vehicle causes problems. Diverted traffic from the Mill and M25 causes major disruption to traffic flow. Issues of parking and the improvements required for infrastructure are mentioned in section 1.

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

The only site that I am aware of locally at high Ongar is completely inappropriate regards to its location.

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

a reduction of 250 homes could be achieved which would not cause too much loss of 'quality of life'. Development on Bows Field will create an estate of over 200 homes when SR0067 is taken into consideration. SR0067 I does not provide any problem for development, particularly as its disused farmland. Bows Field represents green belt which could be used for the benefit of all residents. Great how (Can't Read) The area of Bow Fields could be partially compensated by extending SR0067 I , parallel to the A414 (unreadable)

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

The indication is that regardless what is proposed, infrastructure will only put in place after development has taken place. This is not acceptable and will only impose on the quality of life for new and existing residents

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

The only way to provide additional homes is to provide development which have their own infrastructure built in , including employment close by

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Flooding issues - SR0120 bows field - is a severely sloping site - under certain conditions areas flood. There are already problems of gardens getting water logged within the Bows Drive area. Development of Bows Field only upset the balance of water distribution and create further problems
