



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID Method		1100	Name	Paul	Skinner	
		Survey				
Da	te					
		elements of th	ne full response suc	ch as formatting a	uncil's database of responses to the nd images may not appear accurate ng Policy team: <u>Idfconsult@epping</u> f	ely. Should you wish to review
Su	rvey Respo	nse:				
1.	Do you agre	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan s	ets out for Epping Forest Distric	et?
	Disagree					
	Please expla	ain your choi	ce in Question 1:			
	Some of the	e areas dema	arcated as availa	ble for housing	are wildly inappropriate.	
2.	Do you agre	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan s	ets out for Epping Forest Distric	et?
	Strongly dis	agree				
	Please expla	ain your choi	ce in Question 2:			
		e areas dema uch example		ble for housing	are wildly inappropriate. The	front of Chigwell convent
3.	, ,	e with the pi	roposals for deve	lopment around	Harlow?	
	No opinion					
	Please expla	ain your choi	ce in Question 3:			

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





4.	Do vou	agree	with	the	proposed	shopping	area	in

Epping?

No opinion

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

New employment sites around West Hatch is an idiotic choice. Footfall is non-existant, so only non-retail business would be appropriate. And any non-retail business is very unlikely to want to be situated near a school with the normally associated issues such as graffiti and vandalism are so very close.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

The use of the convent field for housing is appalling. It will ruin the aesthetic of the existing building if it is not indeed pulled down itself. It is also home to a pair of tawny owls who return each spring, and it would be a great shame to remove their potential breeding territory.

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

It is inappropriate to house travellers in Moreton when this site could be better used for affordable housing for young people living in the area, who at present have very few options locally to find affordable housing.

Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?
 Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

As usual, no actual planning has gone into this critical area. Merely platitudes that it will be taken into account when development work is being done in an area.

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)