

Stakeholder ID 8



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Suet

Zheng

Name

Method		Survey			
Dat	te				
		me elements of the fu	I using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation I response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review onse, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk		
Su	rvey Res	ponse:			
1.	Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District? Strongly disagree				
	Please explain your choice in Question 1:				
		re no concrete infra centres, schools, t	structure plans to accommodate the increased population. Plans that include ansport links etc		
2.	Do you a	•	I vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?		
	Please ex	xplain your choice i	Question 2:		
3.	Do you a	gree with the propo	als for development around Harlow?		
	Please ex	xplain your choice i	Question 3:		

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 8 Name Zheng Suet





Epping? No opinion Buckhurst Hill? No opinion Loughton Broadway? Yes Chipping Ongar? No opinion Loughton High Road? No opinion Waltham Abbey? No opinion Please explain your choice in Question 4:	4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in
Buckhurst Hill? No opinion Loughton Broadway? Yes Chipping Ongar? No opinion Loughton High Road? No opinion Waltham Abbey? No opinion Please explain your choice in Question 4:		Epping?
No opinion Loughton Broadway? Yes Chipping Ongar? No opinion Loughton High Road? No opinion Waltham Abbey? No opinion Please explain your choice in Question 4:		No opinion
Loughton Broadway? Yes Chipping Ongar? No opinion Loughton High Road? No opinion Waltham Abbey? No opinion Please explain your choice in Question 4:		Buckhurst Hill?
Yes Chipping Ongar? No opinion Loughton High Road? No opinion Waltham Abbey? No opinion Please explain your choice in Question 4: 5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?		No opinion
Chipping Ongar? No opinion Loughton High Road? No opinion Waltham Abbey? No opinion Please explain your choice in Question 4: 5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?		Loughton Broadway?
No opinion Loughton High Road? No opinion Waltham Abbey? No opinion Please explain your choice in Question 4: 5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?		Yes
Loughton High Road? No opinion Waltham Abbey? No opinion Please explain your choice in Question 4: 5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?		Chipping Ongar?
No opinion Waltham Abbey? No opinion Please explain your choice in Question 4: 5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?		No opinion
Waltham Abbey? No opinion Please explain your choice in Question 4: 5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?		Loughton High Road?
No opinion Please explain your choice in Question 4: 5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?		No opinion
Please explain your choice in Question 4: 5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?		Waltham Abbey?
5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?		No opinion
		Please explain your choice in Question 4:
Please explain your choice in Question 5:	5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?
		Please explain your choice in Question 5:





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

I moved to Debden primarily due to its abundance of green fields. I had a daily walk in the site SR-0356 and it helps me to bond with my child and also provides a venue to exercise. By allocating site SR-0356 to residential development, you are losing a piece of area that residents could use which will affect their quality of life.

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 8 Name Zheng Suet





Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?
 Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

There is no concrete plans to improve the infrastructure to accommodate the increase population. For example, in health, there already a long waiting time for an appointment. With the increased population, should we be expecting an even longer waiting time? By attempting to increase population before resolving the current squeeze on medical appointments, it looks like the Draft Local Plan is trying to run before it can walk.

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)