



# Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	2948	Name	Ruth	Pettit		
Me	thod	Survey					
Dat	te						
		elements of th	e full response suc	ch as formatting a		the Draft Local Plan Consultation rately. Should you wish to review ngforestdc.gov.uk	
Su	rvey Respoi	nse:					
1.	Do you agree	e with the ov	erall vision that	the Draft Plan s	ets out for Epping Forest Dis	trict?	
	•	ain vour choic	e in Ouestion 1:				
	Please explain your choice in Question 1:  It makes sensible plans for future expansion but safeguards the rural nature of the district.						
						, 415111611	
2.		e with the ov	erall vision that	the Draft Plan s	ets out for Epping Forest Dis	trict?	
	Agree						
	•	•	ce in Question 2:				
	I have reser	vations abou	ıt development	of green belt la	nd.		
3.	Do you agree	e with the pr	oposals for deve	lopment around	Harlow?		
	No opinion	·	•	•			
	Please expla	ain your choic	ce in Question 3:				
	This area is	not a concei	rn of mine.				

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





₽.	bo you agree with the proposed snopping area in
	Epping?
	No opinion
	Buckhurst Hill?
	No opinion
	Loughton Broadway?
	No opinion
	Chipping Ongar?
	No opinion
	Loughton High Road?
	No opinion
	Waltham Abbey?
	No opinion
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

The prosperity of the area depends on full employment opportunities for the residents.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Yes

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Lower Sheering has sustained as much housing development as is possible over the last 10 years and does not have the infrastructure to accommodate any more .

Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?
 No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

I really haven't studied this part of the plan.

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)