



# Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	2498	Name	john	Petts
Method	Survey	_		
Date		_		

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: <a href="mailto:ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk">ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk</a>

# Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

# Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

I agree with the theory but there is too much trying to be put into areas that cannot cope with the volume of additional residents proposed.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

### Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

As above. There seems to be too many new settlements in areas that cannot cope. Why not build a 'new town' somewhere rather than making current settlements too big for what residents want. I live in Epping because I like the size of the town. I understand that there will always be growth but if I wanted to live in a bigger area then I would have moved to Harlow. I do not want Epping to become so big that is loses it's character.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

### No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

I am interested to hear the transport plans as currently people drive into Epping to use the tube and if Harlow gets bigger then more people will do this. Where are they going to go? Also, if Epping gets bigger there will already be more people using the tube. The car park at Epping is usually full by 06:30 which then means that

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2498 Name john Petts





the extra people then try to find street parking. What are the public transport plans for Epping as (apart from the tube) all we have are a few bus routes. Will there be an enhanced tube service or even an extension to Ongar? I think that public transport is the way forward but this needs to be thought about before committing to many new houses.

4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in
	Epping?
	No opinion
	Buckhurst Hill?
	No opinion
	Loughton Broadway?
	No opinion
	Chipping Ongar?
	No opinion
	Loughton High Road?
	No opinion
	Waltham Abbey?
	No opinion
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:
6.	Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?
	Epping (Draft Policy P 1):
	No
	Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

How many of the areas are going to be used as the infrastructure of Epping will not cope with the extra residents? The roads are busy at the best of times and the utilities struggle too. I have lost count how many times Station Road and Bower Hill have been dug up recently for repair work and more residents are going to put a bigger strain on these. Also,

where is everyone going to park? ....Redacted.... but my road seems to be an overflow car park for the tube at weekends. How many parking spaces are going to be allocated to each new house as most homes have more than one

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2498 Name john Petts

car now ....Redacted...





# Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2498 Name john Petts





7.	Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?		
	Strongly disagree		
	Please explain your choice in Question 7:		

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

Please tell me the plans for schools and transport as I cannot agree to something without the full facts.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Name john

Stakeholder ID 2498

Petts