

Stakeholder Reference:
Document Reference:

Part A

Making representation as Resident or Member of the General Public

Personal Details		Agent's Details (if applicable)
Title	Mrs	
First Name	Jacqueline	
Last Name	Elliott	
Job Title (where relevant)		
Organisation (where relevant)		
Address		
Post Code		
Telephone Number		
E-mail Address		

Part B

REPRESENTATION

To which part of the Pre Submission Epping Forest District Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph: STAP.R1

Policy: None of the above

Policies Map: No

Site Reference: None of the above

Settlement: Stapleford Abbots

Do you consider this part of the Pre Submission Local Plan to be:

Legally compliant: No

Sound: No

If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail? Positively prepared, Effective, Justified, Consistent with national policy

Complies with the duty to co-operate? No

Please give details either of why you consider the Submission Version of the Local Plan is not legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate; or of why the Submission Version of the Local Plan is legally compliant, is sound or complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. Please use this box to set out your comments.

There has been no consultation with residents since the inclusion in the amended plan; indeed it was not mentioned at all in the original plan which additionally was for a completely different plan reference. The new inclusion has a completely inaccurate spelling of the road name and would therefore be deemed invalid or irrelevant due to residents not making any connection with the correct spelling of Oakhill road. There has been NO sustainability consultation causing a major environmental concern.

Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Pre Submission Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/ Effective/ Consistent with National Policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

The draft plan clearly states that Stapleford Abbots was not considered suitable.

There is a clear breach of green belt protocol.

Flood issues, drainage capacity, education institutes insufficient for current population, power supply inadequacy, health provision at capacity

If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

No, I do not wish to participate at oral examination

If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Please let us know if you wish to be notified when the Epping Forest District Local Plan is submitted for independent examination

Yes

Signature: [REDACTED] Date: 2018-01-28