

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

| Stakeholder ID | 2810 | Name | Brian | Nelson |
|----------------|--------|------|-------|--------|
| Method | Survey | | | |
| Date | | | | |

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: <a href="https://docs.org/licenses/lice

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

these may well end up being sold to people from outside the area with money while our future generations could be priced out, also it's hard enough now to get an appointment in the doctors etc.... it's also hard enough to get a parking space outside the local shops as it is.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

it would be more useful to build on Harlow and make that bigger as there is already a big bus garage there as well as good rail access to London and Stansted. I can see why people who own the local greenbelt land would want to build on it, as it would make them very rich but I feel it would be to the detriment of the people who already live in Nazeing.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

I'm all for building more homes in Harlow but I would like to see them built on brownfield land as I do not think we need to keep building on greenbelt.

Nelson

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





- 4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in... Epping?
 No opinion Buckhurst Hill?
 No opinion Loughton Broadway?
 No opinion Chipping Ongar?
 No opinion Loughton High Road?
 No opinion Waltham Abbey?
 No opinion Please explain your choice in Question 4:
- 5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

the jobs created would not be for the people of working age in Nazeing as they would mainly be low paid labouring jobs and you could never afford to pay the rent or the mortgage on a house or flat in Nazeing on the money these jobs would pay, all you would get is more traffic with people coming and going.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Nelson



6.



Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area? Epping (Draft Policy P 1): No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Epping: Loughton (Draft Policy P 2) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton: Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey: Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar: Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill: North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett: Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett: Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois: Roydon (Draft Policy P 9) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon: Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10) No Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing: I don't want to see any greenbelt land used to build houses in Nazeing, one of the main reasons people choose to live here is to be close to nature. Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11) No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2810

Name Brian

Nelson





Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

we have had 3 or 4 power cuts in Nazeing in the last year alone so I think that we are at breaking point as far as the infrastructure in Nazeing goes and I cant see how making more houses here would improve it.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

It seems like the first thing that happens when people need housing in an area is that the people who own the greenbelt land in the areas rub their hands together and offer all sorts of incentives to the council in order to get their way and increase the value of their land more than 100 times over, but the people who suffer are the ones that live in the areas and see their little villages ruined.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

people need to live in areas that are able to cope with the numbers, not just somewhere to live but somewhere to shop and park and eat etc.. Nazeing is simply not big enough to cope with more housing.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Nelson