



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	3124	Name	Debbie	Tennant	
Method	Survey				
Date					
	elements of th	ne full response suc	ch as formatting an		to the Draft Local Plan Consultation urately. Should you wish to review pingforestdc.gov.uk
Survey Respo	nse:				
		verall vision that	the Draft Plan se	ts out for Epping Forest Di	strict?
Agree					
· ·	ain your choi	ce in Question 1:			
			erwise Central C s not seem to ap	Govt. will impose plans on ply in Sheering.	us. However 'protecting
2. Do you agre	e with the o	verall vision that	the Draft Plan se	ts out for Epping Forest Di	strict?
Please expla	ain your choi	ce in Question 2:			
Redacted					
Strongly dis	sagree	•	lopment around H	Harlow?	
Please explainRedacted		ce in Question 3:			
Redacted					

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in	4.	Do you	agree with	the propos	sed shopping	area in
---	----	--------	------------	------------	--------------	---------

Epping?

Yes

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

Yes

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

....Redacted....

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

It is necessary to provide employment for residents of all the extra homes.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

R	
e	
d	
а	
C	
t	
e	
d	
Ī.	

Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?
 No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Please confirm receipt of this questionaire. I have added attachments to questions 2, 3 4 and 6, PLEASE CONFIRM THEY HAVE BEEN RECEIVED SUCCESSFULLY. MANY THANKS

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)