

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4798 Name E Joyce

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

I have lived in Epping for 40 years. I live here because i like it as it is. Keep our Green Belt as existing and please - no more house at all in this area. We have enough as we are and any new development will alter the balance we have at the moment on existing services.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

I have enjoyed the protection the Green Belt has afforded Epping from significant further development and growth. This is how I wish it to remain.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

I want to keep all existing Green Belt. If additional housing becomes unavoidable - then it should not be built on Green Belt but land where the density of existing housing is low.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4798

Name E

Joyce

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No

Buckhurst Hill?

Loughton Broadway?

Chipping Ongar?

Loughton High Road?

Waltham Abbey?

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

No change to Epping Town Centre wanted. To enable this - Build no new housing in Epping. Other adjacent developments to be controlled to ensure no "knock-on" effect on existing services and infrastructure.

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

No change to existing commerce and employment sites in Epping wanted - to enable this - no increase in existing housing stock. Why have some employment/industrial sites been permitted to be demolished and cleared for new housing in the recent past. How can this be justified? They have gone, now you want to replace them.

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

I have tried to make sense of the report. It seems to have little detail in this regard. Is this part of the report still "work in progress"? Comment on Epping only.

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

As previously stated - it is preferred there is no change to existing infrastructure identified in the question. To achieve this goal - no increase in housing in Epping. i.e Keep Everything as existing.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

-
8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

N/A - Not in report?

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?