

Stakeholder Reference:  
Document Reference:

Part A

Making representation as Resident or Member of the General Public

Personal Details		Agent's Details (if applicable)	
Title	Mr		
First Name	Jack		
Last Name	Farley		
Job Title (where relevant)	IT Consultant		
Organisation (where relevant)			
Address			
Post Code			
Telephone Number			
E-mail Address			

---

## Part B

---

### REPRESENTATION

**To which Main Modification number and/or supporting document of the Local Plan does your representation relate to?**

MM no: 78

Supporting document reference:

**Do you consider this Main Modification and/or supporting document of the Local Plan to be:**

Legally compliant: No

Sound: No

If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail? Effective, Justified

**Please give details of why you consider the Main Modification and/or supporting document is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Local Plan or compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.**

The below are reasons outlined by the government's planning inspector which we agree contribute to the government's local plan is neither legally compliant nor effective or justified:

- The land allocated is on Green Belt and, therefore, is still not legally compliant. The removal of Epping Station Car Park as a potential site appears to disregard this. (MM24 / MM53)
- The noise air quality associated with the M25 is still very relevant, and therefore reducing the number of dwellings, from approx. 950 homes to 450 homes, does not effect this
- The presence of overhead powerlines have still not been considered or eradicated
- Much of the content is vague, more definite facts must be included

**Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Main Modification and/or supporting document legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/Effective/Consistent with national policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.**

If the SOUTH EPPING location is not disregarded and is still a consideration, then the below must be considered to ensure the Main Modifications is legally compliant and sound:

- The proposed new vehicular bridge over the Central Line must be a requirement to ensure the existing narrow and bendy roads can cope with additional volume of traffic. This is particularly important for emergency services and deliver/waste disposal.
- A GP / Health hub is an essential consideration due to existing GPs not being able to cover the current population
- The overhead powerlines must be a consideration and discussed

Signature: Jack Farley Date:  
20/09/2021