



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID		2934 Survey	Name	Janice	Cheevers	
Method						
Dat	:e					
		elements of the	full response suc	h as formatting an	ncil's database of responses to the Draft L I images may not appear accurately. Shou I Policy team: Idfconsult@eppingforestdc.	Ild you wish to review
Su	rvey Respoi	nse:				
1.	Do you agre	e with the ove	erall vision that	the Draft Plan se	s out for Epping Forest District?	
	Strongly dis	agree				
	Please expla	ain your choice	e in Question 1:			
	regarding Wexamples re Chapter 3/ Vision. "The include loca Centre. Hou place where encourage to of flexible a at the heart	laltham Abbey egarding the I Draft Local Ples vision to be all communities useing Site (Ples residents count of and affordables tof the communities of the communities where the growth of and affordables to the community with the community and affordables to the community with th	y. I do not belie oss of quality o an. 1.) Abbey C accessable and es". It's not very roposal). The intinue to enjoy local businesse business facili	eve that it will e of life are the releardens Parking. permeable, into accessable with Draft Vision for y quality of life" es and start ups, ities". The Walth amotes and provi	I Plan sets out for Epping Forest Distribute enhanced quality of life for perioval of the Community Centre & Lo Housing Site (Proposal). Lee Valley grated visitor attraction to serve the reduced parking. 2.) Waltham Abber District. "By 2033 Epping Forest & Draft Plan Objectives - Economic through supporting home-working, part Abbey Community Centre is a challenge of the control	cople. Some scal Parking. Ref :- y Regional Park e region which will be Community District will be a Development. " To provision of a range arity run business
2.	3		erall vision that e in Question 2:	the Draft Plan se	s out for Epping Forest District?	
3.	, ,	•	posals for devel e in Question 3:	lopment around I	arlow?	

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Name Janice

Cheevers

Stakeholder ID 2934

1





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in						
	Epping?						
	No opinion						
	Buckhurst Hill?						
	No opinion						
	Loughton Broadway? No opinion Chipping Ongar? No opinion						
	Loughton High Road?						
	No opinion						
	Waltham Abbey?						
	No opinion						
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:						
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?						
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:						
6.	Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?						
	Epping (Draft Policy P 1):						
	No opinion						
	Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:						
	Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)						
	No opinion						

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

I do not agree with all the proposed sites in Waltham Abbey. 1.) I cannot see how removing our Fire Station will enhance quality of life or indeed the safety of the residents in Waltham Abbey. 2.) I cannot see how removing The Waltham Abbey Community Centre, which caters for many groups ranging from Fitness Clubs to a Preschool and even an over 60's Lunch Club as well as Family Functions, will enhance our quality of life in Waltham Abbey. It is the founding principle of The Waltham Abbey Community Centre to provide affordable rents to local groups / small businesses. I must say I am quite bemused as to why The Waltham Abbey

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2934 Name Janice Cheevers





Community Centre is even on the Draft Local Plan as it has around 17 years still on it's Lease. I also think provisional construction dates should have been noted on the Draft Local Plan. As The Waltham Abbey Community Centre is a functioning business, without a provisional construction date, local people may think it's closure could be in the non to distant future. This could really effect business not enhance it's growth.

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2934 Name Janice Cheevers





7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

I do not agree with the approach to the infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan. I do not believe that enough information regarding infrastructure has been given at this time to make an informed decision on The Draft Local Plan. It does mention the possible relocation and expantion of Princess Alexandra Hospital in Harlow, including possible sites, but as far as I could see there was no mention of an exact replacement provision for the Waltham Abbey Fire Station. It only mentioned that it would be looked at. The same can be said about how the utilitys will be expanded to keep up with the growth in population. I couldn't see anything regarding a replacement, let alone a central replacement, for The Waltham Abbey Community Centre. There are also questions regarding expantion of schools. It mentions in Chapter 6 of The Draft Local Plan 6.18 that for large developments, where the need for a new school is identified it should be provided on site to meet the needs of the new population. For smaller developments which do not themselves generate sufficient demand for a new school but which put pressure on existing establishments, financial contributions towards new expanded or improved off site facilities will be sought. It does not mention how our present schools will be expanded or where any new schools will be built. We know a new swimming pool will be built in Waltham Abbey but will it be operational before the Roundhills pool is closed? Finally regarding the Waltham Abbey Community Centre. In Chapter 6 of The Draft Local Plan 6.30, It mentions how Community, Leisure & Culture facilities make a vital contribution to the social & economic life of a community particulary in rural areas. They are often especially important to elderly people and those who do not have access to transport e.t.c. It also mentions the loss of such facilities through redevelopment and change of use is detrimental to the fabric of communities and should be resisted.

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2934 Name Janice Cheevers