

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1677 Name Fiona Darvill_Osborn

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

I disagree that you will enhance the quality of life for the people of Limes Farm and Jessel Green as you will be taking away the parks and social area and causing more infrastructure problems and the houses will not be affordable for 1st time buyers.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1677

Name Fiona

Darvill_Osborn

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

Yes

Buckhurst Hill?

No

Loughton Broadway?

No

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

I disagree as retail usage is jeopardised by the proposal of development of houses etc in the car parks from the stations and shopping centres, which will cause less parking for the retail areas, so less trade, as the parking will be taken up by residents and business only, it is difficult now to shop in these areas due to lack of parking, which in turn will be bad for the retailers, and again the infrastructure support will be efficient enough.

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Do not know enough to comment

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

You are putting homes and business in areas that are already over populated and the quality of living there will be severely compromised, in Loughton you are threatening Jessel Green and the station car parks.

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

I agree with some of the areas, but strongly against Limes Farm, this area, at the moment is struggling with infrastructure and adding all those homes will only drag the area down and the lives of the residents with it, there will not be enough school places, doctors, dentist, transport, and as for Highway problems will be immense. Also I want to know why at the meeting we went to, why was we not told about the Beis Shammai School, already being sold, so you now can not place the 29 homes on this site, I hear it has been sold To the Barkingside Muslim Community, how is that going to help the Primary School for parking and adding affordable housing? I also want to know why the sites by Palmerston Road and Roding Lane have not been taken into account, this will only affect a handful of houses, and will not put strain on the infastructure, please can you re consider this area again, especially now the Beis Shammai School has gone, also another area you should

consider is the land behind the library and runs along Courtland Drive as this would be on the same infrastructure we are using now, would like a repose to this please.

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

I am concerned on how this will happen when you are over populating certain areas that are already struggling for Schools, Doctors, Dentist, Transport and Traffic Congestion

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
-

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

My only comment is that this plan has not be communicated effectively, and it is not easily read, so I feel our contribution to this is limited, and wonder if this is what you planned

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)