



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	ikeholder ID	2601	Name	Michael	Peters	
Method		Survey				
Da	te					
		elements of th	e full response suc	h as formatting and		ne Draft Local Plan Consultation tely. Should you wish to review gforestdc.gov.uk
Su	rvey Respo	nse:				
1.	Do you agre	e with the ov	erall vision that	the Draft Plan set	out for Epping Forest Distri	ct?
	Agree					
	Please expla	ain your choid	ce in Question 1:			
2.	Do you agre	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan set	s out for Epping Forest Distri	ct?
	Please expla	ain your choid	ce in Question 2:			
3.	Do you agre	e with the nr	onosals for deve	opment around H	arlow?	
	Agree	o with the pi				
	•	ain your choid	ce in Question 3:			

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2601 Name Michael Peters





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in						
	Epping?						
	No Buckhurst Hill?						
	No						
	Loughton Broadway?						
	No						
	Chipping Ongar?						
	No						
	Loughton High Road?						
	No						
	Waltham Abbey?						
	No						
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:						
_							
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?						
	Agree						
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:						

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2601

Name Michael

Peters





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2601 Name Michael Peters





Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?
 Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2601 Name Michael Peters