



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	2553	Name	David	Tetlow		
Method		Survey					
Dat	:e						
		elements of th	e full response suc	ch as formatting a	ncil's database of responses to the dimages may not appear accurate g Policy team: ldfconsult@epping	tely. Should you wish to review	
Sui	rvey Respo	nse:					
1.	Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?						
	Disagree						
	Please explain your choice in Question 1:						
	feature of the region as an are distinct from both the london suburbs and Harlow. I am also concerned that there is not enough of a specific idea for the infrastructure that will support the growth in population.						
2.	Do you agre		erall vision that	the Draft Plan s	ets out for Epping Forest Distri	ct?	
	0 3	·	ce in Question 2:				
	The plan seems strongly loaded to the periphery of the district - some areas losing large amounts of green space but others less.						
3.	Do you agre	e with the pr	oposals for deve	lopment around	Harlow?		

I recognise that Harlow has more schools and other amenities but am concerned at the apparent sprawl

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2553 Name David Tetlow

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

towards the Epping Forest District





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in						
	Epping?						
	Yes Buckhurst Hill? No opinion Loughton Broadway? No opinion Chipping Ongar? No opinion Loughton High Road?						
	No opinion						
	Waltham Abbey?						
	No opinion						
	ase explain your choice in Question 4:						
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?						
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:						
6.	Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?						
	Epping (Draft Policy P 1):						

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

There remains considerable brown field options in Epping, notably in the centre around the former junior school and the EFDC depot. more attention should be placed on developing those sites. It is vital that Epping retains its market town nature and is not blighted by random growth. The main road is already congested with little scope for a by pass so more development il pose extra problems and deter visitors with a a detrimental

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2553 Name David Tetlow





effect on the town centre. Building on the existing car parks seems a very shortsighted proposal. If the sports centre is to be developed what proposal is there for a replacement?

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2553 Name David Tetlow





7.	Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?						
	No opinion						
	Please explain your choice in Question 7: I can see no specific proposal.						
8.	An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.						
9.	Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?						

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2553 Name David Tetlow