

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2541 Name Richard and Walters
Jane

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly agree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

This seems to sum up the current needs well.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

We are opposed to encroaching on Green Belt and so favour development within settlements.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

We do not feel it appropriate to comment on Harlow.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2541 Name Richard and Jane Walters

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

Yes

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

Yes

Waltham Abbey?

Yes

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

We are very keen to protect retail use in town/district centres.

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

We agree with the principle of this.

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

We have reservations about some of the proposed sites.

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

We do not feel that the reference to infrastructure development for Waltham Abbey in chapter 6 is sufficiently robust to assure us that there will be sufficient services and amenities to support the increased population adequately.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
-

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Draft Policy P 3 / Para 5.69

Of the Residential sites specified we have the following reservations - SR-0219 and SR-0541- Fire Station and Community Centre: we do not support demolishing two important community assets SR-0381 - Darby Drive - we do not support this development as it is on a site of historical importance and would spoil the skyline of the Abbey Gardens which is one of Waltham Abbey's most beautiful features; it will also remove some valuable car-parking space. SR-0903 - Swimming Pool - we wonder why as few as 27 homes are proposed for this site.
