



# Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	1603	Name	Natalie	Thorne
Method	Survey	_		
Date				
	elements of the ful	I response such as	formatting and ima	database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation ages may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review icy team: Idfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

# Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

Properties are being built in every conceivable bit of space available. The council is already building irresponsibility, by agreeing plans that clearly don't have enough thought behind them - car parking spaces for examples are just not thought about, and now its digging up green areas to make way for lots of car spaces which should have been considered at the point of the original planning permission. My driveway is often blocked by people using the tube not from around the area because they can park for free whilst there is no room for the local people. Debden has a lot of green areas and these plans look set out to destroy the green areas in favour of cramming in too many flats. Theres also an issue with the already overcrowded tube, which is just going to get worse.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

We should not be considering green belt land. I do not agree with the green areas around Debden which some flats are planned for. I'd prefer to see these moved out of town (Harlow).

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1603 Name Natalie Thorne





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in						
	Epping?						
	No opinion						
	Buckhurst Hill?						
	No opinion						
	Loughton Broadway?						
	Yes						
	Chipping Ongar?						
	No opinion						
	Loughton High Road?						
	No opinion						
	Waltham Abbey?  No opinion  Please explain your choice in Question 4:						
							I think the plan for Debden broadway is protecting the local shops? If this is the plan then yes i do support, if not then no I don't!
						5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development? Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1603 Name Natalie Thorne





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

#### No

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

- No thought over parking for the existing local people (overcrowded roads, non local people using roads to park to use the station daily, people parking over my driveway because of lack of spaces). - Removal of green areas by building ugly flats and rem

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1603 Name Natalie Thorne





Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

- 7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan? Please explain your choice in Question 7:
- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Thorne

Stakeholder ID 1603 Name Natalie