



# Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	23	Name	Charlotte	Clarke
Method	Survey			
Date				

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: <a href="mailto:ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk">ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk</a>

# Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

There is no outline regarding parking, traffic, additional schools or doctors surgeries in the plan. The plans to build on the central line car parks is ridiculous. The line already has delays most days and struggled to cope with the amount of people travelling on it. There is one road leading to Epping station also used by buses - this is heavily congested. The green space in Debden is used by many in an area that houses lower income households, destroying this would seriously effect the lives of many.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

I believe the areas around Harlow are the right choice. Maintaining green belt land is important to all residents. Building in car parks is not sustainable.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

I agree regarding these sites, however anyone commuting will drive to Epping station to use the underground, and these services are not being thought about.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 23 Name Charlotte Clarke





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in
	Epping?
	Yes
	Buckhurst Hill?
	No opinion
	Loughton Broadway?
	Yes
	Chipping Ongar?
	No opinion
	Loughton High Road?
	No opinion
	Waltham Abbey?
	No opinion
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:
	Yes all very well and good but worried about traffic! Also concerned about sustainability, shops are shutting every week down the high road and have remained empty for months (some for years!). How will the Highstreet support more shops when many are vacant.
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?
	Agree
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Major concerns over parking and the central line being able to cope with all these new residents. Concerns regarding doctors surgeries. Worried about traffic.

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

As mentioned previously mentioned RE the green.

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Clarke

Stakeholder ID 23 Name Charlotte





Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?
 Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

I feel transport has not been addressed, especially TFL and access to Epping station. There is over a three week wait for a doctors appointment in Epping - when I lived in Buckhurst Hill I could get one the same day.

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)