

Stakeholder ID 28



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Crump

Christine

Name

Method		Survey	
Dat	te		
		ne elements of the ful	I using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation I response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review onse, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk
Su	rvey Res _l	oonse:	
1.	Do you aç Agree	gree with the overal	I vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?
	Please ex	plain your choice in	Question 1:
	There is	a need for more ho	using, but in the appropriate areas with adequate infrastructures.
2.	Do you aç		I vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?
	J	plain your choice in	Question 2:
	Too man	y Pisces allocated f	or North Weald
3.	Do you ad	aree with the propos	sals for development around Harlow?
٠.	Disagree	y. 00 11111 1110 p. 0p. 0	
	•	xplain your choice in	Question 3:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 28 Name Christine Crump





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in		
	Epping?		
	Yes		
	Buckhurst Hill?		
	Yes		
	Loughton Broadway?		
	Yes		
	Chipping Ongar?		
	Yes		
	Loughton High Road?		
	Yes		
	Waltham Abbey?		
	Yes		
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:		
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?		
	Agree		
Please explain your choice in Question 5:			





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Too many areas in Tyler's Green

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 28 Name Christine Crump





No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)