



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	1699	Name	Coral	Edwards		
Me	thod	Survey					
Da	te						
		elements of th	ne full response suc	h as formatting ar	ncil's database of responses to the Drad d images may not appear accurately. S g Policy team: <u>Idfconsult@eppingforest</u>	hould you wish to review	
Su	rvey Respo	nse:					
1.	Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?						
	No opinion						
	Please expla	ain your choid	ce in Question 1:				
2.	Do you agre Strongly dis		verall vision that	the Draft Plan so	ts out for Epping Forest District?		
	Please expla	ain your choid	ce in Question 2:				
					the Nazeing area, rather than end strain on already overloaded and		
3.	3 0	e with the pr	oposals for deve	lopment around	Harlow?		
	No opinion						
	Please expla	ain your choic	ce in Question 3:				
	-						

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1699 Name Coral Edwards





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in Epping? No opinion Buckhurst Hill? No opinion Loughton Broadway? No opinion					
	Chipping Ongar?					
	No opinion					
	Loughton High Road?					
	No opinion					
	Waltham Abbey?					
	No opinion					
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:					
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?					
	No opinion					
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:					

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1699

Name Coral

Edwards





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

As above. Brownfield site development makes much more sense than encroaching into the green belt, both to improve disused areas, to manage increased traffic and to preserve the green belt.

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1699 Name Coral Edwards





Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1699 Name Coral Edwards