

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1452 Name Barry Hawkins

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

I believe we do need to build more houses in the UK and we must play our part; however, plans have to be sensitive to the nature of the area

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

I feel that Epping itself is being asked to accept too high a proportion of the planning housing

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

I think Harlow is a better place than most to accept new housing and should have been allocated more - it's infrastructure especially roads is far superior to areas inside the M25 or Epping

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1452

Name Barry

Hawkins

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No

Buckhurst Hill?

Yes

Loughton Broadway?

Yes

Chipping Ongar?

No

Loughton High Road?

Yes

Waltham Abbey?

No

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

Smaller towns need much more sensitive treatment

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

We need as much help as we can get!

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

The roads are already near capacity - last Friday, at 3.30, not even rush hour, cars were queuing from the top of Station Road right to the Bell Hotel. 1,600 houses means at least 2,500 cars. Yet there are few options for expanding the road network without a bypass, the cost for which would be prohibitive. There will be housing at the back of my house, potentially (probably in fact) intruding in my view of the hills. I am not against the

plans in principle, but my rights should be protected and building done sensitively; this should include the trees immediately at the back/South East of The Orchards

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

-
7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

The Plan is pleasingly detailed about the Transport policy but does not address Epping roads specifically enough.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

Very welcome - one would assume it will be independent

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?