

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2085 Name Ron Whitney

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly agree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

I strongly agree to keep the Green Belt area safe. From Building and houses.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

I strongly disagree to buying houses where there are no roads to support the extra traffic and would congest the area suggested even more. Plus areas down south of Epping are very rural and very limited road widths and off course the flooding would become worse.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Strongly agree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

I agree to building around Harlow Area as long as it don't compound the congestion through Epping and put more strain on Epping roads.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2085

Name Ron

Whitney

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No

Buckhurst Hill?

Loughton Broadway?

Chipping Ongar?

Loughton High Road?

Waltham Abbey?

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

Not enough information has been given to comment on.

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Epping Laundry is a good site for this

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Using Green Belt land should not be on the list for new housing proposals. What with the roads for extra traffic and far too small to take any more and in certain places it would cause more flooding than we have now.

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

There is no information available to comment on this and would it mean even more greenbelt land used?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
-

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

More information is needed to be made more clearly so that the people can comment on. Also people living in Epping say we can't cope. within the traffic and parking now what would it be like with 1,633 more homes would cause chaos for all the infrastructure in and around Epping it would no longer be a market town but a traffic jam and cause extreme pollution and very poor air quality which we do have already. Let the green belt be. The green belt and not a housing estate.
