

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2618 Name Gillian Short

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

Disagree with some of the sites & suggestions. Please refer to my letter sent to you Redacted...

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

See above re; my letter. Also this questionnaire should have been delivered with pre-paid envelope to every house in the district - not everyone has access to internet or is able to or comfortable about using it.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Do not know Harlow area well enough to comment.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2618 Name Gillian Short

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No opinion

Buckhurst Hill?

No

Loughton Broadway?

No

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

Please refer to my letter (as above - previous questions)

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

See letter. Also this questionnaire should have been delivered with pre-paid envelope to every house in the district - not everyone has access to internet or is able to or comfortable about using it.

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Please see my letter - in particular the sections about the greens which are well used by the community and the infrastructure issues, as not enough roo/time to put all the detail in this questionnaire. Also this questionnaire should have been delivered with pre-paid envelope to every house in the district - not everyone has access to internet or is able to or comfortable about using it.

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

http://eppingforest.consultationonline.co.uk/wp-content/uploads/sites/5/gravity_forms/3-fce9873862dde780a40e3cbe24771a88/2016/12/Site-no-SR-0361.docx

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

See my letter attached to previous question. Also this questionnaire should have been delivered with pre-paid envelope to every house in the district - not everyone has access to internet or is able to or comfortable about using it.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

Disagree - see letter as previously suggested. Also this questionnaire should have been delivered with pre-paid envelope to every house in the district - not everyone has access to internet or is able to or comfortable about using it.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

See my letter. Also this questionnaire should have been delivered with pre-paid envelope to every house in the district - not everyone has access to internet or is able to or comfortable about using it.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)