

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	1381	Name	PETER	Pleydell
Method	Survey			
Date		-		

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: <a href="https://docs.org/licenses/lice

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

EFDC should not be imposing this on the people of Epping due to the future of 'illegible' governments. EFDC needs to stand up to central government. Point out that. - Our area is already overcrowded - just look at the traffic congestion in Epping -Central government has the duty to solve the housing problem, possibly with new town - not EFDC -Proposals will destroy the feel of the town -The metro newspaper recently reported two million empty homes in the capital EFDC must link with other authorities and refuse the implement central government dictates.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

No development of green belt no increase in housing in Epping town and area.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Strongly agree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Plevdell





- 4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in... Epping? Yes
 Buckhurst Hill? Loughton Broadway? Chipping Ongar? Loughton High Road? Waltham Abbey?
 Please explain your choice in Question 4:
- 5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Not clear to many houses will be needed. Impact of BREXIT - Will this mean less people moving here? What about launch of the northern powerhouse. No indication of how to solve current traffic congestion - let alone

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Pleydell





more to be *illegible*. Impact of removal of leisure facilities and council offices. NO NO NO! Epping sports club, sports centre, the council offices - loss of amenity

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

ECC would be responsible of roads etc. Up to 'illegible' Primary 'illegible' in Epping 'illegible' what is to urgent that they will solve their problems and come up with new infrastructure?

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

CO2 levels already over UK standards. New homes = more traffic.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1381	Name PETER	Pleydell
---------------------	------------	----------





9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1381

Name PETER

Pleydell