

Stakeholder Reference:
Document Reference:

Part A

Making representation as Resident or Member of the General Public

Personal Details		Agent's Details (if applicable)	
Title	Mr		
First Name	Glen		
Last Name	Watts		
Job Title (where relevant)			
Organisation (where relevant)			
Address			
Post Code			
Telephone Number			
E-mail Address			

Part B

REPRESENTATION

To which Main Modification number and/or supporting document of the Local Plan does your representation relate to?

MM no: 24

Supporting document reference:

Do you consider this Main Modification and/or supporting document of the Local Plan to be:

Legally compliant: No

Sound: No

If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail? Justified

Please give details of why you consider the Main Modification and/or supporting document is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Local Plan or compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Our green spaces must be protected. We need more green spaces in and around developments to ease pressure on the forest

Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Main Modification and/or supporting document legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/Effective/Consistent with national policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

I don't feel that the space should be used for extra housing as we need to protect the few areas of green space we have to keep Epping from being over built. We should be protecting this space and not building on it. There are a number of car parks in the north part of Epping that would be more suitable for a housing development and would not cause as much destruction to our green space.

REPRESENTATION

To which Main Modification number and/or supporting document of the Local Plan does your representation relate to?

MM no: 78

Supporting document reference: Mm78

Do you consider this Main Modification and/or supporting document of the Local Plan to be:

Legally compliant: No

Sound: Yes

If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail?

Please give details of why you consider the Main Modification and/or supporting document is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Local Plan or compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Originally a vehicular bridge was included in the plans, which would ease congestion. In the current main modifications consultation, this has been removed. This is an absolute requirement. The existing roads are narrow and congested and cannot cope with traffic.

Current proposals state that a new school must be provided. Ivy chimneys is already at capacity. A new addition school is an absolute must for this site.

Current proposals say that appropriate community and healthcare facilities must be provided. The existing GP surgery cannot cover the current population. A GP/ health hub is an absolute must in South Epping, particularly given the site's location away from the current healthcare providers.

Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Main Modification and/or supporting document legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/Effective/Consistent with national policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

As per above

REPRESENTATION

To which Main Modification number and/or supporting document of the Local Plan does your representation relate to?

MM no: 55

Supporting document reference:

Do you consider this Main Modification and/or supporting document of the Local Plan to be:

Legally compliant: No

Sound: No

If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail? Justified

Please give details of why you consider the Main Modification and/or supporting document is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Local Plan or compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Historical assets must be protected by independent professional reports and assessments, not appraised by developers. Much of the content is vague. There needs to be more definite facts included.

Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Main Modification and/or supporting document legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/Effective/Consistent with national policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

As above

REPRESENTATION

To which Main Modification number and/or supporting document of the Local Plan does your representation relate to?

MM no: 28

Supporting document reference:

Do you consider this Main Modification and/or supporting document of the Local Plan to be:

Legally compliant: No

Sound: No

If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail? Justified

Please give details of why you consider the Main Modification and/or supporting document is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Local Plan or compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Housing must be a mixture of types, not just flats.

Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Main Modification and/or supporting document legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/Effective/Consistent with national policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

As above

Signature: Glen Watts Date:
21/09/2021