



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	1980	Name	Rebecca	Hunswick		
Method		Survey					
Dat	e						
		elements of th	e full response suc	h as formatting and	il's database of responses to the images may not appear accuratel Policy team: Idfconsult@eppingfo	y. Should you wish to review	
Sur	vey Respo	nse:					
1.	Do you agre Strongly agr		verall vision that	the Draft Plan set	out for Epping Forest District	?	
			ce in Ouestion 1:				
	Please explain your choice in Question 1: To enhance the quality of life for the current residents is paramount.						
2.	Do you agre Strongly dis		erall vision that	the Draft Plan set	out for Epping Forest District	?	
	Please expla	ain your choid	ce in Question 2:				
	There is no	t adequate la	and for the prop	osals or adequate	planning of infrastructure th	at will be required.	
3.	Do you agre	e with the nr	onosals for devel	opment around Ha	rlow?		
J.	Strongly dis	•	oposais for dever	opinent around no	HOW:		
	0.5	· ·	ce in Question 3:				
	•	•		evelopment have	an accurate understanding o	f what is required.	

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1980 Name Rebecca Hunswick





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in						
	Epping?						
	No						
	Buckhurst Hill?						
	No						
	Loughton Broadway?						
	No						
	Chipping Ongar?						
	No						
	Loughton High Road?						
	No						
	Waltham Abbey?						
	No						
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:						
	There are adequate shopping facilities in all these areas. This is not a way in which I as a tax payer think that the Council should allocate funds. Put the money elsewhere.						
_	Daniel and the state of the sta						
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?						
	Agree						
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:						
	This proposal should enable full employment for those currently unemployed in the area.						

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1980

Name Rebecca

Hunswick





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Lack of green and peaceful areas for families to enjoy and keep fit. More housing will mean overcrowding and will be damaging on the local area. Treasure these areas and leave them as they are.

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chiqwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1980

Name Rebecca

Hunswick





Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

- 7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?
 - Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

It will not happen as set out. Promises will not be kept to. Under planning will have occurred.

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
 - Residents must be listened to and heard. With England coming out of the EU, there will less immigration than there has been. The proposals for the DLP are already out of date with regard to the current housing needs.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

The proposals must not go ahead.

Stakeholder ID 1980

Name Rebecca

Hunswick