



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	1651	Name	PAUL WILLIAM	BRADICK
Method		Survey			
Da	te				
		elements of th	e full response suc	ch as formatting and ima	database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation ages may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review icy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk
Su	rvey Respor	nse:			
1.	Do you agree	e with the ov	erall vision that	the Draft Plan sets ou	it for Epping Forest District?
	Strongly dis	agree			
	Please expla	in your choic	e in Question 1:		
	area around directives to have no spe	I London whi o provide ho cial wooded	ch must be pre- using which sho areas.The Cour	served and the Coun ould be highlighted el	as of GREEN BELT land. Epping Forest is a unique cil should not be influenced by Government sewhere in areas which need regeneration and forest for a reason and the name should be Concrete.
2.	Do you agree Strongly dis		erall vision that	the Draft Plan sets ou	ut for Epping Forest District?
	Please expla	in your choic	e in Question 2:		
	Not enough	transparanc	y provided.		
3.	Do you agree	e with the pr	oposals for deve	lopment around Harlo	w?
	Please expla	iin your choid	e in Question 3:		

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in
	Epping?
	No opinion
	Buckhurst Hill?
	No
	Loughton Broadway?
	Yes
	Chipping Ongar?
	No opinion
	Loughton High Road?
	Yes
	Waltham Abbey?
	No opinion
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?
	Strongly disagree
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1651

Name PAUL WILLIAM BRADICK





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

SR 0176 1 POWELL ROAD The proposal is a replacement plan to build on GREEN BELT land which has been strongly opposed by residents and rejected by EFDC. Nothing has changed and why are the Council considering this application again which would involve the destruction of GREEN BELT land unless there are influential relationships involved. The proposal for 30 houses should not even be considered due to the reasons that the





previous application was rejected. If the EFDC want to increase employment in the area why are businesses closing due to exorbitant rents in the high street.

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

Extra housing will impact on schools, GP's and transport in the area.

An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

Increased sustainability directly impacts on schools GP's and transport and has no requirement in Epping Forest. Sustainability should be directed to the forest and maintaining/sustaining the GREEN BELT which is

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





under threat from short sighted individuals who do not see the big picture and only see the present day gains and disregard the future and the responsibilities to our children and their heirs.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

SR 0176 1 Powell Road

Disregard of the sustainability of GREEN BELT land in particular the decisions of EFDC to previously reject a similar proposed development