



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	3104	Name	CAROL	Shreeve
Method	Survey	_		
Date				

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

- 1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?
 - Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

See below

Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

I understand homes are needed in the area and I do not object to housing being built, but I do object to the amount proposed under the plan. It will destroy our village, reducing the amount of green space for residents. The surrounding area cannot cope with the proposed increase in housing, local infrastructure would be overwhelmed. Epping Station is already massively overcrowded and other transport links would struggle to cope. The current GP surgery is already over subscribed and cannot cope with demand. The local Ambulance Station has already closed, I believe Epping Fire Station is only staffed by volunteers and many years ago we lost our village Police Officer. Princess Alexandra Hospital is already over stretched. Why is it necessary to build a Travellers Site when there already appears to have been once erected in Thornwood? Would our local schools cope with the increase in students? Those who live here like village life and do not wish to become part of the surround larger towns such as Harlow.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3104 Name CAROL Shreeve





2	Do you came with the managed for development around Healer?
3.	Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow? No opinion
	Please explain your choice in Question 3:
	Please explain your choice in Question 3:
4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in
	Epping?
	No
	Buckhurst Hill?
	No opinion
	Loughton Broadway?
	No opinion
	Chipping Ongar?
	No opinion
	Loughton High Road?
	No opinion
	Waltham Abbey?
	No opinion
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?
	Disagree
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3104

Name CAROL

It would depend on what was the employment allocated to the airfield site

Shreeve





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

See previous comments

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3104 Name CAROL Shreeve





No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

- An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3104 Name CAROL Shreeve