



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	2855	Name	adam	Longley
Method	Survey	_		
Date				
This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation				

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

- 1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?
 - Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

I don't think the plan is set out has the people all ready living Epping Forrest. we have had to work very hard and made many sacrifices to live in this green belt area and do not want it spooling.

- 2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?
 - Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

I don't believe building on green belt areas when other areas are available is fair. Normal members of the public would not get permission to put houses up on there land in a green belt so why should any one else. Once toys start building on the green belt were do you stop. Nazeing village is already overcrowded with traffic so extra house would bring more disruption.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

The land proposed in on green belt land and other land not on a green belt could be used.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2855 Name adam

n Longley





4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No opinion

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

The plans sr-0011 and Sr.-0300 are on Hoe lane which is a very small country lane. The addition of extra development will bring extra heavy goods vehicle which are not suitable for this road. All roads leading to hoe lane have just been re laid due to this problem. Epping forest know these roads are not suitable for this sort of traffic but will not update the old signs or restrict the access down Betts lane.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2855 Name adam Longley





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Before any extra housing is built adding or upgrading he existing houses drainage sewerage etc would be good. A clear report of potential flooding due to these extra properties must be carried out.

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2855 Name adam Longley





Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

Outer Nazeing is still not connected to mains sewers mains gas and can occasionally flood. More needs to be done for the existing residents before new properties are built.

An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

The need to build on green belt land is not necessary when there is brown belt available. The impact it will have on the existing residents will be huge. All residents have paid a premium to live in a rural village with limited occupants. House prices will suffer and roads will be congested.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2855 Name adam Longley