

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2447 Name Richard Mansell

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

The proposed Draft Local Plan will significantly reduce the quality of life of my household by building on the green belt land **...Redacted...**. The additional homes will lead to increased flooding, pollution, traffic and noise. There is also no way the roads and local infrastructure can deal with the additional amount of people living in the area.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

I do not believe that all parcels of land within the conurbations of the Epping Forest District have been fully considered before making a decision to build on the Green Belt land.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Harlow is a new town - as such a greater of proportion of new homes should be built there rather than infringe on the green belt of older historic market towns such as Epping.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2447

Name Richard

Mansell

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

Yes

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Me **...Redacted...** strongly object to the proposal to build 244 new homes on Parcel DSR 045 -- (South East of Epping). This is because of the following reasons: 1. The building of the homes would have a high level of harm to the protected Green Belt land. The analysis of the Green Belt land acknowledges this fact. The building of the homes would encroach the last remaining bit of green belt between the south of Epping and Theydon Bois. There would also be a significant detrimental impact on the wildlife of the area. The purpose of the Green Belt is to stop the urban sprawl of conurbations into the countryside. This fact is being waived just to accommodate new homes being built. 2. Development leads to the loss of good agricultural land that cannot be justified. 3. The surrounding infrastructure around Parcel DSR 045 -- (South East of Epping) cannot support the potential 600-900 new people who would live in the area. The roads around Parcel DSR 045 -- (South East of Epping), in particular Brook Road, are not able to support more cars. The railway bridge between Brook road and Ivy Chimneys would also inhibit any more use. The traffic and parking on Brook Road are at capacity at the moment as it is. 4. The new homes would have a detrimental impact on the residents of Brook Road to the increased increase noise, light and air pollution created by the new homes that would be built there. 5. Parcel DSR 045 - (South East of Epping) would be built on an area of land which has a high risk of flooding. At present areas of Brook Road already flood and the loss of the field and natural habitat to soak up water would increase the risk of flooding to the residents of Brook Road.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

The Draft Infrastructure Delivery Plan does not adequately address how Epping as a town would cope with the thousands of new residents. There is already a lack of: parking; seats on the underground; doctors/dentist appointments. Thousands more people would put a great strain on the already creaking infrastructure of Epping.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
-

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Draft Policy P 1 Epping

Me...Redacted... strongly object to the proposal to build 244 new homes on Parcel DSR 045 -- (South East of Epping). This is because of the following reasons: 1. The building of the homes would have a high level of harm to the protected Green Belt land. The analysis of the Green Belt land acknowledges this fact. The building of the homes would encroach the last remaining bit of green belt between the south of Epping and Theydon Bois. There would also be a significant detrimental impact on the wildlife of the area. The purpose of the Green Belt is to stop the urban sprawl of conurbations into the countryside. This fact is being waived just to accommodate new homes being built. 2. Development leads to the loss of good agricultural land that cannot be justified. 3. The surrounding infrastructure around Parcel DSR 045 -- (South East of Epping) cannot support the potential 600-900 new people who would live in the area. The roads around Parcel DSR 045 -- (South East of Epping), in particular Brook Road, are not able to support more cars. The railway bridge between Brook road and Ivy Chimneys would also inhibit any more use. The traffic and parking on Brook Road are at capacity at the moment as it is. 4. The new homes would have a detrimental impact on the residents of Brook Road to the increased increase noise, light and air pollution created by the new homes that would be built there. 5. Parcel DSR 045 - (South East of Epping) would be built on an area of land which has a high risk of flooding. At present areas of Brook Road already flood and the loss of the field and natural habitat to soak up water would increase the risk of flooding to the residents of Brook Road.