

## Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID    2925                      Name                      louise                      Mead

Method                      Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: [ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk](mailto:ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk)

### Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

**Disagree**

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

When there seems to be other more appropriate places to build or even the possibility of a new garden village to meet the build criteria it seems to damage the area around Debden to be unnecessary, building on the greens will ruin the lives of people who live around them, Many have worked hard to afford their homes and the council estate is unique in its surroundings. It was never meant to be a cramped estate, that is why it was built with space around it. Added to this there is not the local infrastructure to support more buildings in that area, gp and schools over subscribed how do you intend to deal with this? I see no plans.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

**Disagree**

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

In part I agree with some if the ideas but there are lots if other spaces that have not been considered.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

**Strongly agree**

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

It seems the most logical option although again sad to lose some of the green spaces.

### Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID    2925                      Name    louise                      Mead

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

Yes

Buckhurst Hill?

Yes

Loughton Broadway?

Yes

Chipping Ongar?

Yes

Loughton High Road?

Yes

Waltham Abbey?

**No opinion**

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

---

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

**Agree**

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

---

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

**No**

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Please see above. Loughton has grown rapidly over the past decade and already taken its fair share of building new properties but always without infrastructure. The idea of paving over greens in an area which is so unique is wrong. Debden is a special place with a mix of council tenants and private owners, if you get rid of the greens, it will devalue the estate, and take away a valuable asset to the area. The government is encouraging more exercise, how does taking away green spaces meet this criteria? The greens are used on a

daily basis if there are just buildings there people will become frustrated and what is generally a well loved peaceful area will become more crime ridden.

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

It's not specific or strong enough

---

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?