



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	2511	Name	adam	Gray
Method	Survey	_		
Date				

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

The heritage of the village will not be maintained. There will not be enough amenities. The increase in traffic in the village. Transport links are already struggling, bus links during peak times are currently unreliable & overcrowded, increasing the size of the village will only enhance those issues. As a keen walker, there are currently many places to go & different surroundings which is a draw for the village. All of the additional houses will take away the village identity.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

North Weald is a unique village. Doubling the size will dramatically change & congest the current surroundings as we know them today. Transport links, community areas & amenities will be far too congested & it will lose the much loved areas that many use to walk dogs or take time out to wonder.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

The current size of north Weald is at capacity. Increasing gnthe size will not only cause further congestion but also pollute the air with further emissions from the number of additional cars within the area.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in				
	Epping?				
	No				
	Buckhurst Hill?				
	No opinion				
	Loughton Broadway?				
	No opinion				
	Chipping Ongar?				
	No				
	Loughton High Road?				
	No opinion				
	Waltham Abbey?				
	No opinion				
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:				
	Increasing Epping's/north Weald's shopping area without the necessary parking provisions will not enhance the area but cause more traffic/issues with accessing the amenities.				
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?				
٥.	No opinion				
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:				
	reads explain your energe in question of				

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Parking is already a nightmare down the high street & at the station. By removing the car parks & adding more people to the area will cause an increase in cars & a greater requirement for cars to park.

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

Nο

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

North Weald is unique in that its current housing is surrounded by vast green areas for people to walk their dogs & children to play.

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

The main roads are already saturated along with links to them. This cannot be sustained as the addition of 1500 houses will only saturate the roads further.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)