

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2886 Name Keith Towns

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

All these comments are in reference to Waltham Abbey. I welcome the development adjacent to the Crooked Mile, it is an appropriate location for a housing development and will be an asset to the town. My concern is that some sites for development have impact within the community. Namely: The community centre. Although planning permission will only be granted with an alternative provision for the centre, it needs to be ensured that the existing facilities are replicated or improved upon (i.e. 3 separate rooms available within the community, not stuck out in Town Mead). The Fire Station. As this will become a retained station, provision for a station at an alternative site should be sought. Ninefields. The loss of this community used field on the doorstep of the surrounding area is detrimental to the local residents. An alternative site a distance away will not be used (such as the area behind the shops, take a look now and see which area is being used for football etc.) and the calculation for remaining green space following the development (housing and swimming pool) includes the unusable area along the stream. That area cannot be used for recreation because of the obvious hazard. Town Centre Car Parks. Increasing the density within populated areas would take away the attraction of our market town. More suitable sites are on the outskirts such as the Crooked Mile site or possibly Pick Hill.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

All these comments are in reference to Waltham Abbey. I welcome the development adjacent to the Crooked Mile, it is an appropriate location for a housing development and will be an asset to the town. My concern is that some sites for development have impact within the community. Namely: The community centre. Although planning permission will only be granted with an alternative provision for the centre, it needs to be ensured that the existing facilities are replicated or improved upon (i.e. 3 separate rooms available within the

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2886

Name Keith

Towns

community, not stuck out in Town Mead). The Fire Station. As this will become a retained station, provision for a station at an alternative site should be sought. Ninefields. The loss of this community used field on the doorstep of the surrounding area is detrimental to the local residents. An alternative site a distance away will not be used (such as the area behind the shops, take a look now and see which area is being used for football etc.) and the calculation for remaining green space following the development (housing and swimming pool) includes the unusable area along the stream. That area cannot be used for recreation because of the obvious hazard. Town Centre Car Parks. Increasing the density within populated areas would take away the attraction of our market town. More suitable sites are on the outskirts such as the Crooked Mile site or possibly Pick Hill.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Strongly agree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No opinion

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

All these comments are in reference to Waltham Abbey. I welcome the development adjacent to the Crooked Mile, it is an appropriate location for a housing development and will be an asset to the town. My concern is that some sites for development have impact within the community. Namely: The community centre. Although planning permission will only be granted with an alternative provision for the centre, it needs to be ensured that the existing facilities are replicated or improved upon (i.e. 3 separate rooms available within the community, not stuck out in Town Mead). The Fire Station. As this will become a retained station, provision for a station at an alternative site should be sought. Ninefields. The loss of this community used field on the doorstep of the surrounding area is detrimental to the local residents. An alternative site a distance away will not be used (such as the area behind the shops, take a look now and see which area is being used for football etc.) and the calculation for remaining green space following the development (housing and swimming pool) includes the unusable area along the stream. That area cannot be used for recreation because of the obvious

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

hazard. Town Centre Car Parks. Increasing the density within populated areas would take away the attraction of our market town. More suitable sites are on the outskirts such as the Crooked Mile site or possibly Pick Hill.

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

This questionnaire is not a good way to obtain feedback.

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

This questionnaire is not a good way to obtain feedback. All these comments are in reference to Waltham Abbey. I welcome the development adjacent to the Crooked Mile, it is an appropriate location for a housing development and will be an asset to the town. My concern is that some sites for development have impact within the community. Namely: The community centre. Although planning permission will only be granted with an alternative provision for the centre, it needs to be ensured that the existing facilities are replicated or improved upon (i.e. 3 separate rooms available within the community, not stuck out in Town Mead). The Fire Station. As this will become a retained station, provision for a station at an alternative site should be sought. Ninefields. The loss of this community used field on the doorstep of the surrounding area is detrimental to the local residents. An alternative site a distance away will not be used (such as the area behind the shops, take a look now and see which area is being used for football etc.) and the calculation for remaining green space following the development (housing and swimming pool) includes the unusable area along the stream. That area cannot be used for recreation because of the obvious hazard. Town Centre Car Parks. Increasing the

density within populated areas would take away the attraction of our market town. More suitable sites are on the outskirts such as the Crooked Mile site or possibly Pick Hill.

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

This questionnaire is not a good way to obtain feedback. All these comments are in reference to Waltham Abbey. I welcome the development adjacent to the Crooked Mile, it is an appropriate location for a housing

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

development and will be an asset to the town. My concern is that some sites for development have impact within the community. Namely: The community centre. Although planning permission will only be granted with an alternative provision for the centre, it needs to be ensured that the existing facilities are replicated or improved upon (i.e. 3 separate rooms available within the community, not stuck out in Town Mead). The Fire Station. As this will become a retained station, provision for a station at an alternative site should be sought. Ninefields. The loss of this community used field on the doorstep of the surrounding area is detrimental to the local residents. An alternative site a distance away will not be used (such as the area behind the shops, take a look now and see which area is being used for football etc.) and the calculation for remaining green space following the development (housing and swimming pool) includes the unusable area along the stream. That area cannot be used for recreation because of the obvious hazard. Town Centre Car Parks. Increasing the density within populated areas would take away the attraction of our market town. More suitable sites are on the outskirts such as the Crooked Mile site or possibly Pick Hill.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

This questionnaire is not a good way to obtain feedback. All these comments are in reference to Waltham Abbey. I welcome the development adjacent to the Crooked Mile, it is an appropriate location for a housing development and will be an asset to the town. My concern is that some sites for development have impact within the community. Namely: The community centre. Although planning permission will only be granted with an alternative provision for the centre, it needs to be ensured that the existing facilities are replicated or improved upon (i.e. 3 separate rooms available within the community, not stuck out in Town Mead). The Fire Station. As this will become a retained station, provision for a station at an alternative site should be sought. Ninefields. The loss of this community used field on the doorstep of the surrounding area is detrimental to the local residents. An alternative site a distance away will not be used (such as the area behind the shops, take a look now and see which area is being used for football etc.) and the calculation for remaining green space following the development (housing and swimming pool) includes the unusable area along the stream. That area cannot be used for recreation because of the obvious hazard. Town Centre Car Parks. Increasing the density within populated areas would take away the attraction of our market town. More suitable sites are on the outskirts such as the Crooked Mile site or possibly Pick Hill.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

This questionnaire is not a good way to obtain feedback. All these comments are in reference to Waltham Abbey. I welcome the development adjacent to the Crooked Mile, it is an appropriate location for a housing development and will be an asset to the town. My concern is that some sites for development have impact within the community. Namely: The community centre. Although planning permission will only be granted with an alternative provision for the centre, it needs to be ensured that the existing facilities are replicated or improved upon (i.e. 3 separate rooms available within the community, not stuck out in Town Mead). The Fire Station. As this will become a retained station, provision for a station at an alternative site should be sought. Ninefields. The loss of this community used field on the doorstep of the surrounding area is detrimental to the local residents. An alternative site a distance away will not be used (such as the area behind the shops, take a look now and see which area is being used for football etc.) and the calculation for remaining green space following the development (housing and swimming pool) includes the unusable area along the stream. That area cannot be used for recreation because of the obvious hazard. Town Centre Car Parks. Increasing the density within populated areas would take away the attraction of our market town. More suitable sites are on the outskirts such as the Crooked Mile site or possibly Pick Hill.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)