



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	2622	Name	David	Stallan	
Method		Survey				
Da	te					
		elements of th	ne full response suc	h as formatting ar		to the Draft Local Plan Consultation urately. Should you wish to review pingforestdc.gov.uk
Su	rvey Respo	nse:				
1.	Do you agre	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan se	ts out for Epping Forest Di	strict?
	Agree					
	Please expla	ain your choid	ce in Question 1:			
2.	Do you agre	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan se	ts out for Epping Forest Di	strict?
	Please expla	ain your choid	ce in Question 2:			
3.	Do you agre	e with the pr	oposals for deve	lopment around	Harlow?	
	Agree					
	•	ain your choid	ce in Question 3:			

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in
	Epping?
	No opinion
	Buckhurst Hill?
	No opinion
	Loughton Broadway?
	No opinion
	Chipping Ongar?
	No opinion
	Loughton High Road?
	No opinion
	Waltham Abbey?
	No opinion
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Site SR0003 and SR0158A are grade 2 agricultural land. The draft plan itself has number of statements and policies that do not support building on agricultural land. These areas are prone to flooding and therefore not suitable for development Site SR 0195B is also grade 2 agricultural land. Site SR 0512 is within setting of a listed building, and not able to support the numbers suggested without potential overdevelopment of the site. The proposed traveller site ref GRT n06 is not suitable as it conflicts with para 4.24 of the draft local plan, North Weald is not a town. Suggested site is also close to a listed building. The area of land between SR 0003 and SR 0119 should be considered for employment/aviation business use to support airfield potential. I do

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





support officers decision to not consider further development of the radio station/Blakes golf course area. The area is not sustainable due to poor access, and its impact on the rest of the village as it looks down on it.

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

Infrastructure should be delivered prior to any development rather then afterwards, particularly for North Weald ie transport links, heath provision

- An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)