



## Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	1519	Name	Maggie	Woods					
Method		Survey								
Dat	e									
		elements of th	ne full response suc	h as formatting an		ses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation accurately. Should you wish to review <a href="mailto:eeppingforestdc.gov.uk">eeppingforestdc.gov.uk</a>				
Sur	vey Respoi	nse:								
1.										
2.	Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?  Strongly disagree  Please explain your choice in Question 2:  I do not agree with the use of any green belt land for building houses									
3.	Agree Please expla	ain your choi	roposals for devel			ulation. It does not have historia				
	Harlow is already a large conurbation with capacity to support increased population, It does not have historic or environmental significance									

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1519 Name Maggie Woods





<ol><li>Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in</li></ol>	4.	Do you	agree with	the proposed	shopping	area in
---	----	--------	------------	--------------	----------	---------

Epping?

No

**Buckhurst Hill?** 

No

Loughton Broadway?

No

Chipping Ongar?

No

Loughton High Road?

No

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

Shopping facilities are used only for small local purchases with the use of good transport into London or Stratford for major shopping. There are sufficient supermarkets in the area already. Since most shopping is now done online with delivery to the house there is no necessity to plan for more shops. Those already in existence are struggling because people do not shop locally now.

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Job creation is not a meaningful term without analysis of the nature of employment proposed. Employment in rural business should be fostered rather than excessive urbanisation

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

See comments above

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

See comments above

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1519

Name Maggie

Woods





Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

## No

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

See comments above

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

Response to this question is predicated on previous responses. Since I do not support further house building I cannot logically support the need for infrastructure

- An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)