



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	1405	Name	Sarah	Springham	
Me	thod	Survey				
Dat	te					
		elements of th	ne full response suc	h as formatting ar	ncil's database of responses to the Draft Lo d images may not appear accurately. Should g Policy team: Idfconsult@eppingforestdc.g	d you wish to review
Su	rvey Respo	nse:				
1.	Do you agre	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan se	ts out for Epping Forest District?	
	Strongly dis	agree				
	Please expla	ain your choi	ce in Question 1:			
					e have more homes squeezed into the been properly explained or organise	
2.	Do you agre	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan se	ts out for Epping Forest District?	
	Strongly dis	sagree				
	Please expla	ain your choi	ce in Question 2:			
	There are u as Langston		d sites in Lought	on, which are r	n down and would benefit from rede	velopment, such
3.	5 0	e with the pı	roposals for deve	lopment around	larlow?	
	No opinion					
	•	,	ce in Question 3:			
	I do not live	e in the area	and do not have	e anv informatio	n to form opinions	

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1405 Name Sarah Springham





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in					
	Epping?					
	No opinion					
	Buckhurst Hill?					
	No opinion					
	Loughton Broadway?					
	No					
	Chipping Ongar?					
	No					
	Loughton High Road?					
	No					
	Waltham Abbey?					
	No					
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:					
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?					
	Strongly disagree					
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:					

"Employment will continue to be provided in out of centre sites" There is no specific mention of employment development, leading to increased unemployment and larger benefit bills, and anti social behaviour

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Springham

Stakeholder ID 1405 Name Sarah





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

The sites cut into vital green spaces where residents can walk dogs, play sports, have family time, and engage in the effects of wellbeing found in the outdoors. The only exception to this being the College middle site, which is derelict and not in any acceptable use.

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1405 Name Sarah Springham





Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?
 Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

No clarification has been given as to how this will be provided, and therefore cannot be agreeable

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1405 Name Sarah Springham