

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2566 Name Cheng Baker

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

The draft plan fails to protect the Green Belt and will result in the loss of many clear and definable Green Belt boundaries

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

The proposed sites have been ill thought out and there is no detailed justification for 360 new homes in and around Theydon Bois. The current infrastructure would not be able to cope with development of this magnitude. There would be insufficient provision for schooling, transport, doctors surgeries and basic utilities such as drainage and sewage disposal, power and wifi. New developments should instead be concentrated on the towns in the District where they will benefit from strong existing infrastructure

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Whilst I believe it is better to concentrate development on towns, I don't believe it should include housing allocation and development on the smaller settlements in the District

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2566

Name Cheng

Baker

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No opinion

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

EFDC's plans for employment development on Green Belt sites will have adverse effects on transport links and local infrastructure. New employment opportunities should be directed towards the larger sites close to the towns so that they will be able to expand in a sustainable manner..

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

A development of this magnitude would destroy the present character of the village. Present and foreseeable infrastructure cannot support this amount of growth. In particular site SR0070 is unsuitable for the following reasons: The area around Dukes avenue already suffers from inadequate drainage and sewage disposal. In periods of heavy rain the drains are unable to cope resulting in sewage flowing out of the grids and into the back gardens. The restricted access. to the site at the end of Forest Drive would put an intolerable burden on the traffic along Forest Drive and Dukes Avenue. That piece of land is currently used and enjoyed by many local residents for walks, family leisure activities and exercising of dogs. The section of land has been the subject of flooding in earlier years

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

There are no provisions to ensure that the infrastructure needed would be provided in the right place at the right time.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

The proposed large increase in Theydon's population will add to the already existing traffic congestion on the roads throughout the village and the overcrowding problems which are already experienced on the local underground station.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?