

Stakeholder Reference:
Document Reference:

Part A

Making representation as Resident or Member of the General Public

Personal Details		Agent's Details (if applicable)	
Title	Mr		
First Name	Chris		
Last Name	Seaber		
Job Title (where relevant)			
Organisation (where relevant)			
Address			
Post Code			
Telephone Number			
E-mail Address			

Part B

REPRESENTATION

To which Main Modification number and/or supporting document of the Local Plan does your representation relate to?

MM no: 21

Supporting document reference: K. Statement of Common Ground Addendum East of Harlow, September 2020 (ED122A-B)

Do you consider this Main Modification and/or supporting document of the Local Plan to be:

Legally compliant: Yes

Sound: No

If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail? Positively prepared, Effective, Justified, Consistent with national policy

Please give details of why you consider the Main Modification and/or supporting document is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Local Plan or compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

building on green belt is totally unacceptable and stated under document K above

Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Main Modification and/or supporting document legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/Effective/Consistent with national policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

The main modification does not take into account green belt, the importance of villages and their heritage, pollution from increasing traffic along The Street Sheering. The road is busy enough and dangerous enough (non existent kerbs in places, width of road, etc.) without adding thousands more cars plus those cars now using The Street coming off or on the motorway. The village just cannot sustain that amount of traffic. The village is a close community that cares for the environment and its heritage. Tree planting is planned, wild flower areas have now been sown along the road to improve the look and also help with pollution along The Street. I have lived in Sheering for over 35 years and love the village and its community. This development would destroy the green belt that exists between us and Harlow. We are having to live with massive deforestation and disturbance of green space with the new motorway link - and it would be unacceptable to increase this urbanisation by bringing thousands more cars and deforestation of green space if this development were approved.

I would like to point out that filling in this objection has been made exceedingly difficult. I have had to speak to several people to work out exactly what I should be objecting to in drop down menus - nothing has been made easy. This inevitably will put a lot of people at a disadvantage in objecting and I have to wonder if the complexity of the form is deliberate in order to reduce the number of objections. I take exception at that - it is discriminatory against those who may not be so computer literate or be able to just work out what boxes to tick.

I would be appalled if this development went ahead and strongly object. And I know that the majority of residents in Sheering feel exactly the same way, even if they are unable to find their way round your objection process.

This development cannot be allowed to go ahead.

Signature: Chris Seaber Date:
23/09/2021