

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	3155	Name	Rosemary	Wilkins
Method	Survey			
Date		_		

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: <a href="https://docs.org/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/licenses/lice

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping? No opinion Buckhurst Hill? No opinion Loughton Broadway? No opinion Chipping Ongar? No opinion Loughton High Road? No opinion Waltham Abbey? No opinion Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)



6.



Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area? Epping (Draft Policy P 1): No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Epping: Loughton (Draft Policy P 2) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton: Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey: Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar: Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill: North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett: Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett: Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois: Roydon (Draft Policy P 9) No Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon: I understand that there will need to be additional housing built in Roydon but I am concerned that even 40 will put additional pressure on narrow roads without footpaths, and where there are cars parked, and will cause further congestion at the level crossing. Perhaps a smaller development could be considered. Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing: Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3155

Name Rosemary Wilkins

3





Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

 Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan? No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)