



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	3098	Name	john	Richmond	Mr
Method	Survey	_			
Date					

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

- 1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?
 - Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

The roads are already very busy and there is no infra structure to support such a large number of new buildings in North Weald. The metro from Epping is already stretched, the number of people now travelling has in creased tremedouslymsincel started commuting 40 odd years ago. There is limited parking after 0700hrs at or near Epping Metro Station

Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

If this building is allowed we will be joined to Harlow, which is not why I moved to this area. North Weald will loose it's identity. The amenities present and those proposed are inadequate.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

This area is a new town which is ever expanding and has room on the outskirts to be developed further plus it has the amenities andm infrasteructure in place to support further buildinjg

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3098 Name john Richmond





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in
	Epping?
	No
	Buckhurst Hill?
	No opinion
	Loughton Broadway?
	No opinion
	Chipping Ongar?
	No
	Loughton High Road?
	Yes
	Waltham Abbey?
	Yes
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:
	The large towns already have the road networks for people to use others will be totally submerged by the increase in traffic
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?
J.	Agree
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:
	Places of employtment are needed

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Richmond

Stakeholder ID 3098 Name john





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

The amount of new building is too excessive, North Weald will loose it's identity. The roads are already too busy and there is no infrastructure to support such aggressive action. The metro fro Epping is alread very overcrowded and limited parking after 0700hrs

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3098

Name john

Richmond





Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?
 Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

See previous answers

- An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3098