



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stak	keholder ID	2021	Name	Tom	LENNON				
Met	hod	Survey							
Date	Э								
		elements of the	full response suc	ch as formatting a		es to the Draft Local Plan Consultation accurately. Should you wish to review Peppingforestdc.gov.uk			
Sur	vey Respor	nse:							
	Disagree Please expla	ain your choice	erall vision that e in Question 2: NE ITS GONE FO		ets out for Epping Forest	District?			
	Strongly agr Please expla	ree	e in Question 3:	lopment around	Harlow?				

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





4.	Do you agree with th	e proposed s	shopping area	in
4.	Do you agree with the	ie proposed s	shopping area	İI

Epping?

No opinion

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

AS THE NUMBER OF NURSERIES IN THE AREA REPACKING IMPORTED FOOD HAVE GROWN THE NO. OF LARGE H.G.V.S HAS ALREADY HAD A LARGE INCREASE

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

THE LARGE NUMBER OF NEW HOMES IN THE PLAIN WILL GREATLY INCREASE THE TRAFFIC MOVEMENTS IN THE VILLAGE. AT THE LOCAL DOCTERS IT ALREADY TAKES UPTO 2 WEEKS TO GET A NONE EMERGENCY

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





APPOINTMENT MOST OF THE NEW DEVELOPMENT IS FOR HIGE END HOMES FOR NEWCOMERS TO THE AREA NOT LOWER COST FOR YOUNG PEOPLE IN THE VILLAGE

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

- 7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?
 - Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

PLAN DOES NOT SHOW NEED TO BUILD ON GREEN BELT LOSS OF LAND USED TO PRODUCE OUR EVER GROWING NEED FOR FOOD

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

MY FAMILY WILL ALREADY BE EFFECTED BUY PROPOSED LOSS OF LOCAL BUS SERIVCES THINGS LIKE THIS SHOULD BE SORTED OUT BEFORE THE LOCAL SERVICES HAVE MORE PRESSURE ON THEM

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)