

## Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4718 Name Brian Rigby

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: [ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk](mailto:ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk)

### Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

Controlled development but with consideration to roads, schools, doctors, hospital and parking are essential before any development.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

We cannot keep building on Green Belt land otherwise we will become/have a Concrete and Brick environment.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

### Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4718

Name Brian

Rigby

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

Yes

Buckhurst Hill?

Loughton Broadway?

Yes

Chipping Ongar?

Loughton High Road?

Yes

Waltham Abbey?

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

But parking and transport links must be improved before each measure are undertaken.

---

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Planned development to bring in more people to New Employment sites will only increase the problems that already exist. The roads cannot cope with the present traffic so how will it be able to cope in the future. More employment sites will mean more lorries delivering on roads that are in most cases small.

---

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

North Weald is a village and does not have the essential services to cope with the vast number of new homes (illegible). To get a doctors appointment can take anything up to 3 weeks now. The road into Epping has tail backs often back to the Coopersale Junction and take up to 20 mins to get to St. Margaret's Hospital, and up to another 20 mins to get through Epping. To park at Epping Station you need to be there by 6.30am after that the car park is full with no street parking available. Shopping in Epping is good if you can park, public transport is unreliable. To expect to build some 21% of homes in North Weald is completely over the top!! 1500 homes say - 3000 people (minimum) an extra 1500 cars/vans (minimum) 1000 school children. As I have already stated the roads cannot cope with present levels of traffic. The NHS in Epping/Harlow is at breaking point and needs to be resolved first.

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

---

## Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

I have seen no evidence of any Infrastructure planned these need to be communicated to the area in detail before the next stages are reached or agreed.

---

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?